Application/Control Number: 10/518,725 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

**Examiner's Amendment** 

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Ms. Sandra Weiss on April 30, 2008.

The application has been amended as follows:

Cancel claim 31.

**Reasons for Allowance** 

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The pending claims

have been amended to a scope that is enabled by the specification, thus rendering the previous

112 1st paragraph rejection moot. The terms "solvate" and "hydrate" have also been canceled

rendering this ground of rejection moot. The applicant is correct in asserting that based on the

amended claims of the instant case, the 7,176,215 patent is no longer an appropriate basis for an

obviousness type double patenting rejection. This rejection is withdrawn. The examiner notes

that the rejections over the later filed copending applications are valid double patenting

Art Unit: 1625

rejections. The examiner withdraws these rejections since this is the only possible ground of

rejection, as per MPEP 1490 V:

**D.** Two or More Copending Applications

If two (or more) pending applications are filed, in each of which a rejection of one claimed invention over the other on the ground of provisional obviousness-type double patenting (ODP) is proper, the ODP rejection will be made in each application. If the ODP rejection is the only

rejection remaining in the earlier filed of the two pending applications, (but the later-filed application is rejectable on other grounds), the examiner should then withdraw that

rejection and permit the earlier-filed application to issue as a patent without a terminal

disclaimer.

The restriction between compounds and methods was withdrawn and the examiner rejoined the

method claim 31 to the compounds, and called the applicant's representative to advise that save

claim 31 the application was otherwise allowable.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Claims 1-3, 9, 11, 13-16, 19, 21, 23 and 25-30 are allowed. Any inquiry concerning this

communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David K.

O'Dell whose telephone number is (571)272-9071. The examiner can normally be reached on

Mon-Fri 7:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary

examiner, Rita Desai can be reached on (571)272-0684. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,725 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D.K.O.

/Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625